UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
LORENZO ROBERT SAVAGE III,	JUNI INIAL DEMIANDED	
Plaintiff,	Case No.	
-against-		
NYP HOLDINGS, INC. d/b/a NEW YORK POST, MIRANDA DEVINE, and JOHN LEVINE,	COMPLAINT	
Defendants.		
Λ		

Plaintiff LORENZO ROBERT SAVAGE III ("Plaintiff" or "Mr. Savage"), by his attorney, Law Office of Mark E. Goidell, as and for his Complaint against Defendants NYP HOLDINGS, INC.. d/b/a New York Post, MIRANDA DEVINE, and JOHN LEVINE states and alleges the following:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Defendants falsely alleged that Plaintiff, a long-time and decorated special agent employed by the United States Secret Service ("USSS"), engaged in significant misconduct in the performance of his official duties by surreptitiously providing assistance to Hunter Biden, the son of President Joseph R. Biden, Jr., and covering up the alleged misbehavior of Hunter Biden relating to drug abuse and patronizing prostitutes. In support of these utterly false allegations, Defendants falsely reported that Plaintiff sent text messages to Hunter Biden, knowing or recklessly disregarding the obvious indicators that the text messages attributed to Plaintiff were fabricated.
- 2. Plaintiff is a dedicated husband and father of three. He is a 25-year veteran of the USSS. Beginning in 2015, Plaintiff served in the Senior Executive Service as the Special Agent in Charge of the USSS Los Angeles Field Office until November 2017. In that capacity, Plaintiff

oversaw all Secret Service protective, investigative, and protective intelligence operations in which that office of the USSS was engaged.

- 3. Without regard to Plaintiff's well-being and well-earned reputation, Defendants published a false and malicious story alleging that fabricated text messages they attributed to Plaintiff were sent to Hunter Biden by Plaintiff, and then falsely reported that the text messages were found on the laptop belonging to Hunter Biden. Defendants reported false allegations regarding the fabricated text messages they attributed to Plaintiff, together with a falsified connection to the son of President Biden, to sensationalize their publications and sell newspapers.
- 4. For almost three years, these Defendants and other media outlets with an apparent agenda have published false allegations about the Plaintiff. This action is brought to hold these Defendants accountable for their malicious, fabricated, and unscrupulous attacks on Plaintiff. Defendants' continued defamation must come to an end.

PARTIES

- 5. At all material times, Plaintiff was and is a resident of the State of California.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant NYP HOLDINGS, INC. d/b/a New York Post ("NYP") is a Delaware corporation doing business in and operating from its office located at 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York, and publishes a daily newspaper known as the New York Post, and owns, operates, and publishes articles and columns on its website, www.nypost.com.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant MIRANDA DEVINE ("Devine") is employed by NYP as a journalist, and resides in the State of New York. The NYP publishes articles authored by Devine, who also publishes posts from her Twitter (now known as "X") account, @mirandadevine.

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant JOHN LEVINE ("Levine") is employed by NYP as a journalist, and resides in the State of New York.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) because the action is between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 10. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because NYP resides in this district and Devine and Levine, upon information and belief, are residents of the State of New York, and/or because a substantial part of the events, acts and/or omissions giving rise to this action occurred in this District, and/or because each Defendant has contacts within this judicial district that would be sufficient to subject it to personal jurisdiction if this district were a separate state.

FACTS

Facts Relating To Plaintiff

- 11. Plaintiff Robert Savage is a dedicated husband and father of three who has dedicated his life to serving and protecting the lives of others.
- 12. Mr. Savage is a 25-year veteran of the USSS. He began his Secret Service career in 1993 as a special agent assigned to the Washington, D.C. Field Office and steadily rose through the ranks. He had a distinguished career, officially retiring in April of 2018.
- 13. Mr. Savage received numerous awards during his exemplary tenure with the USSS. For example, Mr. Savage received: a Presidential Certificate of Appreciation in 2003 from President George W. Bush; the U.S. Department of Homeland Security Secretary's Award for excellence as the National Special Security Event Coordinator for the 2011 Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation Leaders' Meeting comprised of 21 world leaders; the United States Secret Service Exemplary Performance Award 2004-2016; and a Congressional Certificate of Special

Recognition by U.S. Representative Karen Bass in 2015.

- 14. Mr. Savage's steady rise through USSS ranks saw his appointment to the title of Assistant to the Special Agent in Charge of the Presidential Protective Division in 2004, Assistant Special Agent in Charge of the Las Vegas Field Office in 2007, and in 2015, Mr. Savage was appointed to the Senior Executive Service as the Special Agent in Charge of the Los Angeles Field Office.
- 15. From 2015 until November 2017, when Mr. Savage took a leave of absence in advance of his retirement to pursue an employment opportunity, Mr. Savage led the USSS Los Angeles Field Office— the third largest of the Secret Service's 42 field offices. In that role, he successfully oversaw all Secret Service protective, investigative, and protective intelligence operations conducted by that office, including three resident offices located in Riverside, Santa Ana, and Ventura, California.
- 16. During his leave of absence and since his retirement from the USSS, Plaintiff transitioned to the private sector and became the chief executive officer of a major private security company.
- 17. Mr. Savage left his job as chief executive officer in 2022 and went on to found his own private security company, known as Savage III, Inc. ("Savage III").

False Reports Relating To Plaintiff

18. In or about October 2020, articles began circulating in the media about a laptop purportedly belonging to Hunter Biden that was left at a computer repair shop in Delaware. Emails, photographs, and other content and files allegedly found on that laptop landed in the hands of the NYP.

- 19. The electronic content found on the laptop purportedly included damning evidence of illegal activities engaged in by Hunter Biden.
- 20. On October 14, 2020, NYP published the first of many stories about the recovery of the laptop and the information purportedly found on the device.
- 21. The NYP alleged that the laptop provided a treasure trove of emails and photographs concerning Hunter Biden's personal and professional life.
- 22. On June 22, 2021, the NYP published an article authored by Devine and Levine falsely reporting an exchange of text messages between Plaintiff and Hunter Biden in May 2018, and falsely alleging that Plaintiff intervened in extracting Hunter Biden from a compromising encounter with a prostitute.
- 23. In the June 22, 2021 article, the NYP published an image of the text messages, including the depiction of a photographic profile of Plaintiff associated with the text messages. The NYP falsely reported that Plaintiff sent the text messages to Hunter Biden, and also falsely reported that Plaintiff's contact card, phone number, and USSS email address appeared on the laptop.
- 24. Plaintiff, through his attorneys, notified Defendants in June 2021 that the allegations set forth in the June 22, 2021 article concerning Plaintiff were false, that there were no text messages between Plaintiff and Hunter Biden, and that Plaintiff had no relationship with Hunter Biden.
- 25. The obvious indicators that the text messages were fabricated and not genuine include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) the text messages are time stamped May 24, 2018, almost a month after Plaintiff retired from the Secret Service; (ii) the telephone number associated with the fabricated texts was a one-use, VOIP number, and is not associated with Plaintiff, his family, or his business; (iii) the profile image/avatar of the purported sender of the messages was obviously copied and inserted into the fabricated exchange because, among other things, two-person

text messages at that time did not depict a sender's profile image/avatar; (iv) the color of the "iMessage" falsely attributed to the sender of the messages to Plaintiff is green, which if genuine and authentic would have been depicted as blue and/or have the words "Text Message" or "Sent as Text Message" adjacent to the green messages; and (v) the content of aspects of the text messages differ in appearance from other versions of the fabricated text messages depicted in other publications.

- 26. Nevertheless, on September 1, 2023, Devine posted a series of tweets from her Twitter account reviving the fabricated, non-existent text messages between Plaintiff and Hunter Biden, and portraying them as facts and news. Specifically, Devine alleged that the text messages were sent by Plaintiff during a 2018 drug and sex binge by Hunter Biden at a hotel. Devine detailed that when Hunter Biden attempted to pay a prostitute, he experienced issues with his debit cards and sought to use a card that is linked to President Biden. She falsely alleged that Plaintiff then appeared at the hotel: "there's a freshly retired Secret Service agent [Plaintiff] downstairs demanding that Hunter let him in." Devine then falsely reported a conversation between Plaintiff and Hunter Biden, and embedded within the story a text message thread. As the text goes, Plaintiff allegedly implored and then directed Hunter Biden to let him into the hotel room so that Plaintiff could cover up Hunter Biden's indiscriminate acts. A copy of Devine's false and defamatory tweets (the "September 1, 2023 Tweets") is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 27. On the following day, September 2, 2023, the NYP published a story co-authored by Devine and Levine in which Defendants repeat the false accusations set forth in the September 1, 2023 Tweets from the preceding day. The article headline reads, "Secret Service agent whose name appeared on Hunter Biden laptop bribed by Real Housewife: suit."

- 28. Defendants thereby falsely alleged that Plaintiff was connected with the Hunter Biden laptop and the misconduct falsely attributed to Plaintiff that was widely and falsely reported in many publications in 2021.
- 29. In the September 2, 2023 article, Defendants falsely alleged that Plaintiff "reportedly had ties to Hunter Biden," and expressly and falsely alleged that "[t]ext messages labeled as being from Robert Savage III were reportedly found on the first son's abandoned laptop."
- 30. The article published on September 2, 2023 by Defendants (the "September 2, 2023 Article") was published in the NYP newspaper and on its website. A copy of the September 2, 2023 Article is annexed hereto as Exhibit B.
- 31. Defendants purposefully posted the September 1, 2023 Tweets and published the September 2, 2023 Article which linked Plaintiff to the text messages purportedly found on Hunter Biden's laptop although they knew or recklessly disregarded that the texts were fictitious and fabricated, that Plaintiff had no connection to Hunter Biden, and that the conduct Defendants attribute to Plaintiff did not occur.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Defamation)

- 32. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference all allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs above as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. Defendants published or caused to be published the false and defamatory statements set forth above in the September 1, 2023 Tweets and the September 2, 2023 Article.
- 34. In truth and in fact, Plaintiff did not send or receive any text messages to or from Hunter Biden.
- 35. In truth and in fact, the text messages attributed to Plaintiff were fabricated and did not appear in nor were they retrieved from the laptop of Hunter Biden.

- 36. In truth and in fact, Plaintiff had no connection to Hunter Biden.
- 37. In truth and in fact, Plaintiff did not appear at the hotel where Hunter Biden was located, did not assist Hunter Biden, and did not engage in any conduct to cover up any misconduct engaged in by Hunter Biden.
- 38. On their face, and/or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, it should have been readily apparent that the text messages published by Defendants were not genuine and were fabricated.
- 39. By letter from Plaintiff's attorney dated June 22, 2021, Defendants were provided with notice of the falsity of the allegations relating to Plaintiff set forth in the September 1, 2023 Tweets and the September 2, 2023 Article together with a demand to retract the false allegations, and failed to publish any retraction thereof. Instead, Defendants have amplified and reinvigorated coverage of the false allegations relating to Plaintiff in the September 1, 2023 Tweets and the September 2, 2023 Article.
- 40. The September 1, 2023 Tweets and the September 2, 2023 Article were published with knowing falsity, or were published with reckless disregard for the truth, or the Defendants should have known in the exercise of reasonable diligence that the allegations relating to Plaintiff were false and that the text messages attributed to Plaintiff were fabricated.
- 41. The September 1, 2023 Tweets and the September 2, 2023 Article constitute defamation per se because they tend to injure Mr. Savage in his trade, business, or profession and directly accuse Mr. Savage of abusing his position, engaging in illegal misconduct, covering up the purported illicit activities of Hunter Biden, unfitness to perform the duties and responsibilities of his profession, and the absence of integrity in the discharge of his duties.

- 42. The September 1, 2023 Tweets and the September 2, 2023 Article have exposed Mr. Savage to hatred, contempt, ridicule, disgrace, and loss of business opportunities.
- 43. The publication of the September 1, 2023 Tweets and the September 2, 2023 Article have caused significant damage to Plaintiff's reputation, humiliation, embarrassment, mental suffering, shame, emotional distress, and threats to his life, for which Plaintiff is entitled to an award of damages well in excess of \$75,000.
- 44. Additionally, Plaintiff has suffered substantial monetary damages because his business, Savage III, has been deprived of security contracts and business opportunities as a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' publications.
- 45. Defendants published the September 1, 2023 Tweets and the September 2, 2023 Article knowing that they would sensationalize and enliven news coverage of Hunter Biden, creating more controversy and generating additional revenue and readership.
- 46. Defendants engaged in knowing, intentional, willful, wanton, and malicious misconduct with the intent of harming Mr. Savage or in blatant disregard of the substantial likelihood of causing harm to Mr. Savage, thereby entitling Mr. Savage to an award of punitive damages in addition to compensatory damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendant as follows:

- (a) Awarding Plaintiff compensatory, special, and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
 - (b) Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment interest;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action, together with his reasonable attorney's fees, expert fees, and the expenses and disbursements of this litigation; and
 - (d) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial.

Dated: Garden City, New York April 29, 2024

LAW OFFICE OF MARK E. GOIDELL

By: /s Mark E. Goidell
Mark E. Goidell, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
666 Old Country Road, Suite 700
Garden City, New York 11530
Tel. (516) 683-0001
mark@goidell.com